

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP
Jason J. Kim (SBN 190246)
Jason Yoon (SBN 306137)
Kevin Hong (SBN 299040)
101 S. Western Ave., Second Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90004
Telephone: (213) 252-8008
Facsimile: (213) 252-8009
cm@SoCalEAG.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff
MARIA GARCIA

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

MARIA GARCIA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MCDONALD'S CORPORATION; and
DOES 1 to 10,
Defendants.

Case No.:

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE
RELIEF AND DAMAGES FOR DENIAL
OF CIVIL RIGHTS OF A DISABLED
PERSON IN VIOLATIONS OF

1. AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES
ACT, 42 U.S.C. §12131 et seq.;

2. CALIFORNIA'S UNRUH CIVIL
RIGHTS ACT;

3. CALIFORNIA'S DISABLED
PERSONS ACT;

4. CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY
CODE;

5. NEGLIGENCE

Plaintiff MARIA GARCIA ("Plaintiff") complains of Defendants MCDONALD'S CORPORATION; and DOES 1 to 10 ("Defendants") and alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff is a California resident with a physical disability. Plaintiff suffers from advanced multiple sclerosis and is substantially limited in her ability to walk. Plaintiff requires the use of a wheelchair at all times when traveling in public.

1 2. Defendants are, or were at the time of the incident, the real property owners,
2 business operators, lessors and/or lessees of the real property for a restaurant
3 (“Business”) located at or about 8507 Pearblossom Hwy., Littlerock, California.

4 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or
5 otherwise of Defendant DOES 1 through 10, and each of them, are unknown to Plaintiff,
6 who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will ask leave of
7 Court to amend this Complaint when the true names and capacities have been
8 ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and, based thereon, alleges that each such
9 fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner, and therefore, liable to
10 Plaintiff for the acts herein alleged.

11 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, at all relevant
12 times, each of the Defendants was the agent, employee, or alter-ego of each of the other
13 Defendants, and/or was acting in concert with each of the other Defendants, and in doing
14 the things alleged herein was acting with the knowledge and consent of the other
15 Defendants and within the course and scope of such agency or employment relationship.

16 5. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act or
17 failure to act by a defendant or Defendants, such allegations and references shall also be
18 deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly
19 and severally.

20 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

21 6. The Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1331 and
22 1343 for violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, (42 USC §12101, *et*
23 *seq.*).

24 7. Pursuant to pendant jurisdiction, attendant and related causes of action,
25 arising from the same nucleus of operating facts, are also brought under California law,
26 including, but not limited to, violations of California Civil Code §§51, 51.5, 52(a), 52.1,
27 54, 54., 54.3 and 55.

28 8. Plaintiff’s claims are authorized by 28 USC §§ 2201 and 2202.

1 9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 USC §1391(b). The real
2 property which is the subject of this action is located in this district, Los Angeles County,
3 California, and that all actions complained of herein take place in this district.

4 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

5 10. In or about December of 2023, Plaintiff went to the Business.

6 11. The Business is a restaurant business establishment, open to the public, and
7 is a place of public accommodation that affects commerce through its operation.
8 Defendants provide parking spaces for customers.

9 12. While attempting to enter the Business during each visit, Plaintiff personally
10 encountered a number of barriers that interfered with her ability to use and enjoy the
11 goods, services, privileges, and accommodations offered at the Business.

12 13. To the extent of Plaintiff's personal knowledge, the barriers at the Business
13 included, but were not limited to, the following:

- 14 a. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
15 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
16 failed to post required signage such as "Minimum Fine \$250."
17 b. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
18 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
19 failed to provide proper van accessible space designated for the
20 persons with disabilities as there was no access aisle for wheelchair
21 drop-off.
22 c. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
23 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
24 failed to paint the ground as required.
25 d. Defendants failed to comply with the federal and state standards for
26 the parking space designated for persons with disabilities. Defendants
27 failed to mark the space with the International Symbol of
28 Accessibility.

- 1 a. A failure to make reasonable modification in policies, practices, or
2 procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such
3 goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations
4 to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that
5 making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of
6 such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or
7 accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(ii).
- 8 b. A failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no
9 individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or
10 otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the
11 absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can
12 demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the
13 nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or
14 accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden. 42
15 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).
- 16 c. A failure to remove architectural barriers, and communication barriers
17 that are structural in nature, in existing facilities, and transportation
18 barriers in existing vehicles and rail passenger cars used by an
19 establishment for transporting individuals (not including barriers that
20 can only be removed through the retrofitting of vehicles or rail
21 passenger cars by the installation of a hydraulic or other lift), where
22 such removal is readily achievable. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv).
- 23 d. A failure to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum
24 extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily
25 accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities, including
26 individuals who use wheelchairs or to ensure that, to the maximum
27 extent feasible, the path of travel to the altered area and the
28 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered

1 area, are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with
2 disabilities where such alterations to the path or travel or the
3 bathrooms, telephones, and drinking fountains serving the altered area
4 are not disproportionate to the overall alterations in terms of cost and
5 scope. 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2).

6 21. Where parking spaces are provided, accessible parking spaces shall be
7 provided. 1991 ADA Standards § 4.1.2(5); 2010 ADA Standards § 208. One in every
8 eight accessible spaces, but not less than one, shall be served by an access aisle 96 in
9 (2440 mm) wide minimum and shall be designated “van accessible.” 1991 ADA
10 Standards § 4.1.2(5)(b). For every six or fraction of six parking spaces, at least one shall
11 be a van accessible parking space. 2010 ADA Standards § 208.2.4.

12 22. Under the ADA, the method and color of marking are to be addressed by
13 State or local laws or regulations. See 36 C.F.R., Part 1191. Under the California
14 Building Code (“CBC”), the parking space identification signs shall include the
15 International Symbol of Accessibility. Parking identification signs shall be reflectorized
16 with a minimum area of 70 square inches. Additional language or an additional sign
17 below the International Symbol of Accessibility shall state “Minimum Fine \$250.” A
18 parking space identification sign shall be permanently posted immediately adjacent and
19 visible from each parking space, shall be located with its centerline a maximum of 12
20 inches from the centerline of the parking space and may be posted on a wall at the
21 interior end of the parking space. See CBC § 11B-502.6, et seq.

22 23. Moreover, an additional sign shall be posted either in a conspicuous place at
23 each entrance to an off-street parking facility or immediately adjacent to on-site
24 accessible parking and visible from each parking space. The additional sign shall not be
25 less than 17 inches wide by 22 inches high. The additional sign shall clearly state in
26 letters with a minimum height of 1 inch the following: “Unauthorized vehicles parked in
27 designated accessible spaces not displaying distinguishing placards or special license
28

1 plates issued for persons with disabilities will be towed always at the owner's expense..."

2 See CBC § 11B-502.8, et seq.

3 24. Here, Defendants failed to provide the signs stating, "Minimum Fine \$250."

4 25. For the parking spaces, access aisles shall be marked with a blue painted
5 borderline around their perimeter. The area within the blue borderlines shall be marked
6 with hatched lines a maximum of 36 inches (914 mm) on center in a color contrasting
7 with that of the aisle surface, preferably blue or white. The words "NO PARKING" shall
8 be painted on the surface within each access aisle in white letters a minimum of 12 inches
9 (305 mm) in height and located to be visible from the adjacent vehicular way. CBC §
10 11B-502.3.3.

11 26. Here, Defendants failed to provide a proper access aisle as there were no
12 "NO PARKING" markings and blue hatched lines painted on the parking surface.
13 Moreover, Defendants failed to provide the access aisle with the minimum width of 96
14 inches.

15 27. The surface of each accessible car and van space shall have surface
16 identification complying with either of the following options: The outline of a profile
17 view of a wheelchair with occupant in white on a blue background a minimum 36" wide
18 by 36" high (914 mm x 914 mm). The centerline of the profile view shall be a maximum
19 of 6 inches (152 mm) from the centerline of the parking space, its sides parallel to the
20 length of the parking space and its lower side or corner aligned with the end of the
21 parking space length or by outlining or painting the parking space in blue and outlining
22 on the ground in white or a suitable contrasting color a profile view of a wheel chair with
23 occupant. See CBC § 11B-502.6.4, et seq.

24 28. Here, Defendants failed to paint the International Symbol of Accessibility on
25 the surface as required.

26 29. A public accommodation shall maintain in operable working condition those
27 features of facilities and equipment that are required to be readily accessible to and usable
28 by persons with disabilities by the Act or this part. 28 C.F.R. 35.211(a).

1 case less than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) and any attorney's fees that may be
 2 determined by the court in addition thereto, suffered by any person denied the rights
 3 provided in Section 54, 54.1, and 54.2.

4 41. California Civil Code § 54(d) specifies, "a violation of the right of an
 5 individual under Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-336) also
 6 constitute a violation of this section, and nothing in this section shall be construed to limit
 7 the access of any person in violation of that act.

8 42. The actions and omissions of Defendants alleged herein constitute a denial
 9 of full and equal accommodation, advantages, and facilities by physically disabled
 10 persons within the meaning of California Civil Code § 54. Defendants have
 11 discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of California Civil Code § 54.

12 43. The violations of the California Disabled Persons Act caused Plaintiff to
 13 experience difficulty, discomfort, and embarrassment. The Defendants are also liable for
 14 statutory damages as specified in California Civil Code §55.56(a)-(c).

15 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

16 **CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 19955, et seq.**

17 44. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior
 18 paragraphs in this complaint.

19 45. Plaintiff and other similar physically disabled persons who require the use of
 20 a wheelchair are unable to use public facilities on a "full and equal" basis unless each
 21 such facility is in compliance with the provisions of California Health & Safety Code §
 22 19955 et seq. Plaintiff is a member of the public whose rights are protected by the
 23 provisions of California Health & Safety Code § 19955 et seq.

24 46. The purpose of California Health & Safety Code § 1995 et seq. is to ensure
 25 that public accommodations or facilities constructed in this state with private funds
 26 adhere to the provisions of Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 4450) of Division 5 of
 27 Title 1 of the Government Code. The code relating to such public accommodations also
 28 require that "when sanitary facilities are made available for the public, clients, or

employees in these stations, centers, or buildings, they shall be made available for persons with disabilities.

47. Title II of the ADA holds as a “general rule” that no individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of goods (or use), services, facilities, privileges, and accommodations offered by any person who owns, operates, or leases a place of public accommodation. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a). Further, each and every violation of the ADA also constitutes a separate and distinct violation of California Civil Code §§ 54(c) and 54.1(d), thus independently justifying an award of damages and injunctive relief pursuant to California law, including but not limited to Civil Code § 54.3 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENCE

48. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each of the allegations in all prior paragraphs in this complaint.

49. Defendants have a general duty and a duty under the ADA, Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act to provide safe and accessible facilities to the Plaintiff.

50. Defendants breached their duty of care by violating the provisions of ADA, Unruh Civil Rights Act and California Disabled Persons Act.

51. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants’ negligent conduct, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for relief and judgment against Defendants as follows:

1. For preliminary and permanent injunction directing Defendants to comply with the Americans with Disability Act and the Unruh Civil Rights Act;

2. Award of all appropriate damages, including but not limited to statutory damages, general damages and treble damages in amounts, according to proof;

1 3. Award of all reasonable restitution for Defendants' unfair competition
2 practices;

3 4. Reasonable attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs of suit in this
4 action;

5 5. Prejudgment interest pursuant to California Civil Code § 3291; and

6 6. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

7 **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

8 Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby
9 demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

10
11 Dated: January 10, 2024

SO. CAL. EQUAL ACCESS GROUP

12
13
14 By: /s/ Jason J. Kim
15 Jason J. Kim, Esq.
16 Attorneys for Plaintiff
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28